Notes on the Hawaiian Fern Genus Adenophorus

KENNETH A. WILSON

The majority of the Hawaiian representatives of the fern family Grammitidaceae have recently been interpreted as representing a single endemic genus (Copeland, 1947). This concept is not entirely new. As early as 1824, in a short report describing some new plant genera collected during Freycinet's voyage around the world, Charles Gaudichaud (1824) published a description of the genus Adenophorus in which he included three species. Two of these species had been described earlier Kaulf. (Adenophorus minuta Gaud.). The third species, Adenophorus bipinnata Gaud.) and Polypodium hymenophylloides Kaulf. (Adenophorus minuta (Gaud.). The third species, Adenophorus tripinnatifidus Gaud. was described here for the first time. This genus as originally described included those Hawaiian grammitids with pinnatifid or bipinnatifid pinnae, bearing glandular epidermal hairs and possessing glandular-stipitate paraphyses in the sori.

Evidently as a result of nomenclatural complexities, Desvaux (1827) later proposed the new name Amphoradenium for the described species of Adenophorus, and also provided a new epithet for Adenophorus tripinnatifidus in honor of Gaudichaud (Amphoradenium gaudichaudii Desv.). Clearly, however, the correct name for this group of grammitids when treated as a genus is Adenophorus Gaud. Amphoradenium is a synonym of this name. Amphoradenium, however, is the name that was adopted for this genus by Copeland (1947), who also made numerous new combinations.

Investigation shows that, according to Copeland's concept of the genus, Adenophorus includes two distinct groups of species.

¹The name Adenophorus had been published earlier by Desvaux (1808) in a list of names without any description. According to Article 12 of the Code of Nomenclature (Montreal) this earlier name has no status under the code.

The first group is characterized by having elongate creeping rhizomes, remote fronds, pinnate-pinnatifid to pinnate-tripinnatifid blades, with an irregular epidermal layer bearing numerous appressed, usually unicellar, reddish-brown glandular hairs which are oriented so that they point to the apex of the ultimate blade segment or lobe. The second group includes the species with short, erect or ascending rhizomes closely invested by the crowded stipes, simple, pinnatifid or pinnate blades, with a smooth epidermis bearing scattered uniseriate, simple or more frequently branched, erect hairs. In the first group belong Adenophorus hymenophylloides Hook. and Grev., A. tamariscinus Hook. & Grev. (as tamarisci), A. tripinnatifidus Gaud., A. hillebrandii (Hook.) K. A. Wilson² and A. abietinus (Eaton in Mann) K. A. Wilson.³

The second group includes Adenophorus sarmentosus (Brack.) K. A. Wilson, Adenophorus haalilioanus (Brack) K. A. Wilson⁵ and Adenophorus pinnatifidus Gaud.

The presence of clavate glandular paraphyses in the sori is the single morphological character that unites these two groups of Hawaiian grammitid ferns.

The distinctness of these two groups raises the problem of the generic validity of Adenophorus. Considering only the Hawaiian species of the Grammitidaceae, it might be suggested that each one of the two groups merits generic status. The great similarity of the species within each group argues strongly in favor of their close relationship. The degree of relationship between the two groups, however, is not clearly established. Convincing evi-

²Adenophorus hillebrandii (Hook.) K. A. Wilson, comb. nov. Basionym: Polypodium hillebrandii Hook., Sp. Fil. 4: 228, tab. 279. 1864.

³Adenophorus abietinus (Eaton in Mann) К. А. Wilson, comb. nov. Basionym: Polypodium abietinum Eaton in Mann, Proc. Am. Acad. Arts and Sci. 7⁵ 219, 1867.

⁴Adenophorus sarmentosus (Brack.) K. A. Wilson, comb. nov. Basionym: Polypodium sarmentosum Brack. U. S. Explor. Exped. Bot. 16: 8, 9. 1854; pl. 2, fig. 3, 1855.

⁵Adenophorus haalilioanus (Brack.) K. A. Wilson, comb. nov. Basionym: Polypodium haalilioanum Brack. U. S. Explor. Exped. Bot. 16: 5, 6. 1854; pl. 1, fig 4. 1855.

dence could be presented in favor of treating these ferns as two separate genera.

This situation is not uncommon throughout the entire family Grammitidaceae. There seems to be general agreement that the genus Xiphopteris is polyphyletic and, although easily recognizable, an artificial grouping. The assemblage of ferns placed in the genus "Ctenopteris" (the name is illegitimate) includes numerous groups of ferns. The interrelationships of these ferns is very poorly understood. Prosaptia is another grammitid genus which is probably polyphyletic. Problems similar to those in "Ctenopteris" are also evident in the large genus Grammitis. Clearly, a detailed study of the entire family is needed in order to establish clearer, more natural genera.

Until such a study is undertaken I consider it best to retain these convenient, although most likely artificial genera.

LITERATURE CITED

Brackenridge, W. D. 1855. In C. Wilkes, U. S. Expl. Exped. 1838-1842. Vol. 16.

COPELAND, E. B. 1947. Genera Filicum. Waltham, Mass.

Desvaux, N. A. 1808. Sur les genres Thorea et Lemanea, de M. Bory de Saint-Vincent. Jour. Botanique Appliquée 1(2): 121-125.

Paris. 6: 171-337.

GAUDICHAUD-BEAUPRE, C. 1824. Descriptions de quelques nouveaux genres de plantes recueillies dans le voyage autour du monde. Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. Zool. 3: 507-510.

Hooker, W. J. 1863-64. Species Filicum. Vol. 4. London.

KAULFUSS, G. F. 1824. Enumeratio Filicum. Lipsiae.

Mann, H. 1867. Enumeration of Hawaiian plants. Proc. Am. Acad. 7: 143-235.

SAN FERNANDO VALLEY STATE COLLEGE, NORTHRIDGE, CALI-FORNIA.